

Findings April 10, 2013

CPRC Case No. 12-009

This case concerns complaints of Improper Procedure.

The Commission found the following:

SUBJECT OFFICER #1

- 1. Improper Procedure (Category 2): The investigation produced insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation.
- **2. Improper Procedure (Category 2):** The investigation produced insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation.
- 3. Improper Procedure (Category 2): The Department member committed all or part of the alleged acts of misconduct or poor service.
- **4. Improper Procedure (Category 2):** The Department member committed all or part of the alleged acts of misconduct or poor service.
- **5. Improper Procedure (Category 2):** The Department member committed all or part of the alleged acts of misconduct or poor service.
- **6. Improper Procedure (Category 2):** The investigation produced insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation.
- 7. Improper Procedure (Category 2): The alleged act did not occur.
- 8. Improper Procedure (Category 2): The alleged act did not occur.

SUBJECT OFFICER #2

- 1. Improper Procedure (Category 2): The alleged act did not occur.
- 2. Improper Procedure (Category 2): The Department member committed all or part of the alleged acts of misconduct or poor service.
- 3. Improper Procedure (Category 2): The Department member committed all or part of the alleged acts of misconduct or poor service.
- 4. Improper Procedure (Category 2): The alleged act did not occur.

5. Improper Procedure (Category 2): The alleged act did not occur.

CPRC Case No. 12-026

This case concerns complaints of Improper Procedure and Discourtesy.

The Commission found the following:

- 1. Improper Procedure (Category 2): The alleged act did not occur.
- 2. Discourtesy (Category 2): The alleged act did not occur.
- 3. Discourtesy (Category 2): The alleged act did not occur.